

REMARKS

This Response submits an amended title which includes markings and a substitute Abstract which includes markings.

The attached, marked-up Abstract is submitted despite the undersigned's belief that Patent Office policy and practice do not require submission of a marked-up amended or substitute Abstract where, as here, the abstract has been substantially rewritten:

Where the abstract is being substantially rewritten and the amended abstract bears little or no resemblance to the previously filed version of the abstract, a new (substitute) abstract may be provided in clean form accompanied by an instruction for the cancellation of the previous version of the abstract...It would be counterproductive for applicant to prepare and provide an abstract so riddled with strike-through and underlining that its meaning and language are obscured from view and comprehension.

68 Fed. Reg. 38611, 38616 (June 30, 2003) (emphasis added). The Patent Office is respectfully requested to use either the substitute abstract attached to the Amendment filed March 31, 2008 or the attached marked-up version, whichever it believes is most comprehensible.

It is not believed any additional fee is required for entry and consideration of this Response. Nevertheless, the Commissioner

U.S. Appln. S.N. 10/561,391
RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

PATENT

is requested to charge Deposit Account No. 50-1258 in the amount of any such required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

/James C. Lydon/

James C. Lydon
Reg. No. 30,082

Atty. Case No.: **TUR-178**
100 Daingerfield Road
Suite 100
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Telephone: (703) 838-0445
Facsimile: (703) 838-0447

Enclosures:

Substitute Abstract
68 Fed. Reg. 38611 (June 30, 2003)